

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pater and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSION ER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Vincinia 22313-1450 www.usput.govi

| | | | \mathbf{O} | |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/067,349 | 02/07/2002 | Gilad Bracha | 06502.0128.01 | 7335 |
| 60667 | 7590 08/11/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| SUN MICROSYSTEMS/FINNEGAN, HENDERSON LLP | | | MIZRAHI, DIANE D | |
| | ORK AVENUE, NW | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHING | TON, DC 20001-4413 | | | |
| | | | 2165 | |
| DATE MAILE | | | DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comment | 10/067,349 | BRACHA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | DIANE D. MIZRAHI | 2165 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju | ne 2006 | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | |
| | this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-95</u> is/are pending in the application | 1. | | | | | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 40-95 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | · | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | B) Claim(s) are subjected to: | | | | | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on [[][][is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | DIA PB# | NE BAILTRAHI MARYEKAMINER | 3 | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | te | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other: | atent Application (PTC | D-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/067,349 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

III. DETAILED ACTION

Claims 40-95 are presented for examination.

In response to communications filed on June 30, 2006, Claims 40-95 are pending in the application. Applicant's arguments have been reconsidered but are not deemed persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Response to Applicant's Remarks

Examiner has completed a through review and study of Applicant's amendment of June 30, 2006. Examiner acknowledges that Claims 40-95 remain in the application. Regarding the Claim Rejections of 35 U.S.C. 101, Examiner contends that 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection for Claims 40-95 is maintained according the office action dated April 7, 2006, which is incorporated within. (see below):

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 40-95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter,

Application/Control Number: 10/067,349 Page 3

Art Unit: 2165

specifically, the claims are not directed towards the final result that is "useful, tangible and concrete.

(See State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

According to the New Guidelines of October 26, 2005, which states that "A claim limited to a machine or manufacture, which has a practical application, is statutory. In most cases a claim to a specific machine or manufacture will have a practical application. See Alappat, 33 F.3d at 1544, 31 USPQ2d at 1557)... a specific machine to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result and State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

(Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility http%3A%2F%2Fwww.uspto.gov%2Fweb%2Foffices%2Fpac%2Fdapp%2Fopla%2Fpreognotice%2Fguidelines101 20051026.pdf)

Examiner requests Applicant to include in Applicants claimed limitations (in all the claims) the following:

What is the practical application?

What is the result?

What is final result that is concrete, useful and tangible?

Because the "practical application, result, concrete, useful and tangible" limitations are not claimed in Applicant's claims, Examiner believes that the above listed claims are nonstatutory.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,349

Art Unit: 2165

Regarding Applicant's newly submitted amendment to the specifications at page 5, line 10, Examiner contends that Applicant has submitted new matter to the specification. matter may be introduced into an application after its filing date (MPEP 601.01[R-3]. If the best mode contemplated by the inventor at the time of filing the application is not disclosed, such defect cannot be cured by submitting an amendment seeking to put into the specification something required to be there when the application was originally filed. In re Hay, 534 F.2d 917, 189 USPQ 790 (CCPA 1976). Any proposed amendment of this type should be treated as new matter. MPEP (608.01(h). See also 37 CFR 1.57. (section (f): Any insertion of material incorporated by reference into the specification or drawings of an application must be by way of an amendment to the specification or drawings. Such an amendment must be accompanied by a statement that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter.

Applicant's remarks (newly submitted amendment with the introduction of new matter) are considered moot and does not place the application in condition for allowance. Examiner believes that claims 40-95 are not allowable for reasons cited in this Final Office Action.

Therefore, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane D. Mizrahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

Art Unit: 2165

are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 305-3900 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Diane Mizrahi

Primary Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100

August 9, 2006